

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_121575
Title of the Manuscript:	Librarians' Technology Literacy Skills as Correlate to Use of Electronic Information Resources for Research Activities in University Libraries
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Information technology (IT) and its advances especially relating to research is fast becoming highly indispensable in this technological age and no profession must be left out in ensuring that digital literacy is achieved. Thus, I consider this article very relevant in this contemporary era. Librarians as custodians of knowledge need to have their IT knowledge and research aptitudes enhanced and appropriately updated as the University community depend greatly on them for guidance on how to obtain important information from the internet.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The Journal title is suitable. However, I will like to suggest the following amendment: Correlating Librarians' Digital Literacy Skills with Usage of Electronic Information Resources for Research Activities in Nigerian University Libraries</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>I think the Abstract is a bit lengthy with too much information</p> <p>I suggest the deletion of the following: "Since the study population was small and manageable, there was no need for sampling, and as such, complete census sampling technique was adopted". "The instruments were subjected to face validation, and tested for reliability coefficient using Cronbach's alpha reliability formula. The pre-test analysis yielded a reliability coefficient of 0.94 for the independent variables and 0.91 for the corresponding dependent variables". For the first sentence, I suggest rephrasing to: "A full population survey was conducted in this study"</p> <p>For the sentence below. I only question what is meant by "researcher-structured" and think it should be researcher-administered. Two (2) sets of <u>researcher-structured</u> questionnaire with a 4-point rating scale for the independent and correlating dependent variable were used to elicit data for the study.</p> <p>With these corrections, the Abstract will be of suitable length.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. However, there is no Discussion section at the end of the manuscript and the Conclusion is a bit short.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The methodical and systematic presentation of the manuscript is scientifically accurate. It contains the necessary information for the scope of study. It is comprehensive enough for the manuscript title and focus of study as it aptly combined librarians' digital literacy and competences level with research aptitudes information in both the literature review and survey. However, the Null Hypothesis 2 in the manuscript is wrongly concluded and has to be amended.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :</p>	<p>Regarding the references, I am not sure of the appropriateness of the in-text citation. It combined IEEE with maybe Harvard or Vancouver but has no date attached to the author's name and it has numbers in square brackets. Since I don't know yet the format required by authors of published materials for the Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies, I reviewed based on my experience and knowledge of referencing.</p> <p>The number of references used are adequate for the content. I would expect up to 45-60 references if there was a discussion section.</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript language is professional enough and the English quality suitable for scholarly communication. It is also easy to understand, with few technical terminologies.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is a good one but the author need to take note of typographical errors and proofread the work thoroughly before submission. I made many tracked changes in this regard. Most importantly, there is an issue with Hypothesis 2 conclusion in the manuscript which should not arise if the author is careful to read the article .	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grace Elejo Abalaka
Department, University & Country	University of Central Nicaragua (UCN), South America