

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_121325</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>IMPACT OF DELAY IN ZIGBEE WSN FOR SMART HOME APPLICATIONS</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The abstract would benefit from a clearer and more explicit definition of the research goal. While the current abstract touches upon various aspects such as the use of the IEEE 802.15.4/ZigBee standard, factors contributing to delays, and optimization strategies, it does not clearly articulate the primary objective of the study. A concise statement outlining the main goal—enhancing the performance and user experience of smart home systems by optimizing ZigBee-based WSNs—would provide better clarity and focus for the reader.</p> <p>It would be beneficial to mention some more recent research that is focusing on the same issue in the Introduction part. The most recent research mentioned was from 2022.</p> <p>Headings could be better structured. Literature review could be a separate heading (after Introduction). After that there should be third heading with the details of the research with all subheadings.</p> <p>Recommendation could be called Conclusion and it should be expanded. There it should be mentioned how this research could be used in the future as a starting point for other researchs. Also, there it should be explained more in details what is the real contibution of this research. That part is currently missing.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Boban Davidovic</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Serbia</b>