

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121837
Title of the Manuscript:	Awareness Level of Geographical Indication on Kanyakumari Matti Banana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Overall, this is a clear, concise, and well-written manuscript. The introduction is relevant and theory based. Sufficient information about the previous study findings is presented for readers to follow the present study rationale and procedures. The authors make a systematic contribution to the study in this area of investigation. The longitudinal nature of the work, albeit with college students, is a welcome addition.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract of the article comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Need to correct all suggestion mentioned in the article as per reviewer recommendation before submission	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound after correction of all the suggested comments by the reviewer.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References sufficient and recent but less in discussion section of article	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	The methods are generally less appropriate. Overall, the discussion is not comparative and complete. The longitudinal nature of the work, albeit with college students, is a welcome addition. Overall, this is an average quality manuscript that has implications for the theoretical basis. Ms accepted for publication after suggested correction.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vikramjit Singh
Department, University & Country	Krishi Vigyan Kendra, India