

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_121464
Title of the Manuscript:	NATURAL FARMING: EMBRACING REGENERATIVE AGRICULTURE FOR SUSTAINABLE CROP PRODUCTION
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The topic addressed in this article is relevant, topical and original. Methodology adopted is well-established and produces convincing, usable results. The results are in line with the methodology and reflect the congruence between the research question and the objective set.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The structure of the summary is a bit confusing; it would be nice if we could perceive the objective of the research, the techniques and methods used and then the broad outlines of the results obtained.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate. Except that in 4 we have 4.2.1 without 4.2.2. It would be nice to have this sub-point or to write this section as a paragraph.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient and recent only that the last one (52) does not have a date, house or journal of publication.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	The deadline has already passed by 2 years. Is this old data? If so, the verb tense needs to be revised, or is it a typing error? If the answer is yes, please specify the target date: is it 2025? 2030? or 2040? etc.?	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Traore Diakalya
Department, University & Country	Burkina Faso