

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_120908
Title of the Manuscript:	Eco-friendly management of Purple blotch (<i>Alternaria porri</i>) of onion (<i>Allium cepa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I like the manuscript because it investigated on the management of onion. This is very useful for the farmers who wish to engage onion production. It also uses organic control for purple blotch.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, but please check again the spelling. Scientific name should be italicised.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness by employing a well-structured randomized block design, which is essential for minimizing variability and ensuring reliable results. The inclusion of multiple treatments, including both organic manures and a chemical fungicide, allows for a comprehensive comparison of their effects on Purple blotch disease in onions. The detailed reporting of disease intensity, plant height, number of leaves, fresh bulb weight, bulb size, yield, and benefit-cost ratio at multiple stages (30, 60, and 90 DAT) provides a robust dataset for evaluating the effectiveness of each treatment. Additionally, the use of a reputable research farm and adherence to standard agricultural practices further enhances the technical soundness of the study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references are obsolete. If possible, use recent literatures (5-10 years).	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. However, Grammarly can be useful tool to improve the grammar. It is free.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeffrey P. Villaver
Department, University & Country	School of Agriculture Forestry and Environmental Studies, J.H. Cerilles State College, Philippines