

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_120667</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EXTENSION APPROACHES ON SOIL HEALTH MANAGEMENT – A BIBIOMETRIC ANALYSIS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p><b>Dear Editor</b>            Thank you for selecting me as one of your Journal reviewer. Before reviewing, I read all general guideline of the Journal. This suggestion offer with respect to the researcher since It is obvious that they did their best and spent time for this manuscript.</p> <p>With respect I cannot say I like this manuscript or dislike it. However, the researcher did his/her best to dedicate some information to the scientific world and have concern about health of soil as one of natural main sources in this world, so I appreciate her/his effort.</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but the word of "review" can be put in the title	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract doesn't have standard section; no obvious method, no criteria for selecting articles, no keywords for searching, and etc. I suggest to rewrite the abstract in standard way to be comprehensive and noticeable for attract readers.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<p>Introduction: it can be better to edit grammatical errors like paragraphing. Methodology is not clear; it might be a good idea to rewrite this part as scientific writing. If we want to write Inclusion and exclusion criteria and keyword in tables, we should</p> <p>Result: page 11 , title of figure should be under the figure not the above. Title of fig7 ,9,10,11 &amp; 12 should be rewrite. Title of fig8 should be BOLD. Discussion can be edit with a native editor</p>	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In my opinion this manuscript can be better if a native writer edit some sentences with grammatical error.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Yes, it is.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It might be a good idea to edit some sentences which need grammatical correction	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manizhe Pakdel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>