

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_120013
Title of the Manuscript:	UNDERSTANDING FARMERS' PERCEPTIONS AND CONSTRAINTS IN BIOGAS SLURRY USE IN ANAND DISTRICT OF GUJARAT
Type of the Article	Questionnaire based research

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6.</p> <p>7. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes, the manuscript provides vital information on farmer acceptability of alternative fertilizer source.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the title is indicative of the research outcome</b></p> <p><b>Abstract is well written</b></p> <p><b>The organization of the manuscript follows standard method and one accepted by the journal</b></p> <p><b>The manuscript though not strictly science based because of some social science study methods, but the outcome has scientific usefulness</b></p> <p><b>The reference style is not consistent. Number system and Author (s) names were used simultaneously. It is also difficult to match the references with the citation section since they are not numbered, consistent with the numbers in the body of the manuscript.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, the language of the manuscript and spellings is very good</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The objective as listed should be written as statement and as a paragraph in the introduction. It should not be a standalone subheading.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Donatus B. Adie</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Ahmadu Bello University, Nigeria</b>