

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_122020
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of consumption pattern of A2 milk in Bengaluru city of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Importance for the Scientific Community: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This manuscript is important for the scientific community because it provides valuable insights into the consumption patterns of A2 milk in Bengaluru city. I appreciate the comprehensive analysis and the focus on awareness, factors influencing purchase decisions, constraints, and consumer preferences. The manuscript contributes to understanding A2 milk adoption and highlights areas for targeted strategies. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitability of the Title: The title "Analysis of Consumption Pattern of A2 Milk in Bengaluru City of Karnataka" is suitable as it clearly conveys the study's focus.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Comprehensiveness of the Abstract: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The abstract is comprehensive, covering awareness levels, factors influencing purchase decisions, constraints, and consumer preferences. No specific additions or deletions are necessary. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriateness of Subsections and Structure: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, providing a logical flow of information. 	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Scientific Correctness: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. It addresses awareness gaps, factors influencing behavior, and constraints effectively. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The references are sufficient and recent. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The language quality is suitable for scholarly communications. 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bekiyad Shasho Daro
Department, University & Country	Haramaya University, Ethiopia