

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Chemical Science International Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CSIJ_121302</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>STUDY OF OBTAINING WET-PROCESSING PHOSPHORIC ACID PROCESSES BY CLINKER METHOD ON BASE OF MINERALIZED MASS</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of the manuscript to the scientific community is that the author tried a different method which led to a higher amount of P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> in the resulting WPPA when compared to that the traditional method.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title does not capture exactly what the research is all about at a glance. Could the author try the suggested title below:  <b>STUDY OF THE PRODUCTION OF WET-PROCESSING PHOSPHORIC ACID BY CLINKER METHOD ON BASE OF MINERALIZED MASS</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs major revision as it lacks clarity.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript address the issue of using an alternative method to produce higher amount of P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> in WPPA which is a welcome development.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient. It would be nice if the authors could include more recent publications (2023, 2024).	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Little edition is needed.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nnenna Winifred Odozi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Ibadan, Nigeria</b>