

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_121302
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY OF OBTAINING WET-PROCESSING PHOSPHORIC ACID PROCESSES BY CLINKER METHOD ON BASE OF MINERALIZED MASS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The title is interesting but the presentation of the important parts that make up an article are very poor The work lacks methodology and a detailed description of the materials and reagents that were used The above notes result in discussions not being valid, they are stating results that were not obtained or discussed previously	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract also lacks information	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors must review the fundamental parts that make up an article and the detailed description of each one of them The presentation of the Method section must be very specific, what equipment they used, what brand, as well as the reagents For the discussion of results part they must compare with other works and highlight why the method they present is different	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The most recent references are 2021 and 2014, the other 14 are very old	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article also lacks a correct review of the language	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Del Carmen Carreño De León
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Technology of Mexico, Mexico