

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_121380
Title of the Manuscript:	Inhibitory Effects of Datura innoxia Mill Extracts on two Enzymes Involved in The Control of Diabetes and Inflammation
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript add value to the treatment of diabetes, the paper is novel and presents significant new knowledge that needs to be considered, there is also statistical evidence that this medicine can be affective and should be considered.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is good, it shows all aspects of what an abstract should look like, the main results are well presented.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The sections and structure of the paper are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper is scientifically sound, the paper refers to experimentally conducted results which makes it very realistic and actual results were recorded. The most important aspect is that this paper reports about a traditionally used medicine which has been used over time and these can now be incorporated into pharmaceutical products. The results are technically sound and can be used positively in the treatment of diabetes.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	The references can be increased because the introduction need to be expanded to reveal a bit more about the background of diabetes treatment. Most references are within the previous ten years which is good. The references are well presented, author(s) should check if all journals are abbreviated correctly.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality can be corrected using English correcting tools, so far there are no issues	
Optional/General comments	The introduction needs to be increased to show more on the background of the medical intervention. The paper also needs to mention what are the previous researches about the same medicine in diabetes and how this is different to that, it is important to mention that there are no previous studies regarding the treatment of diabetes using the same approach.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gilbert Makanda
Department, University & Country	Central University of Technology, United Kingdom