

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCS_121086</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Yield of lettuce fertilized with hairy woodrose (<i>Merremia aegyptia</i> L.) plus poultry manure.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is suitable at a regional scale. It seems to address local crop production issue of farmer.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "Yield of lettuce fertilized with hairy woodrose (Merremia aegyptia L.) plus poultry manure" may be changed to "Impact of Hairy Woodrose (Merremia aegyptia L.) and Poultry Manure on Lettuce Yield"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs corrections and have been described in the original manuscript copy in track change mode.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It is fine.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The experiment is well conducted but the statistical analysis showing the significance among the treatments is lacking resulting in improper conclusion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It seems fine.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Major revision	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language needs to be improved for better communication to the audience.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Good work that addresses a local issue. Needs improvement especially in material and methods section, results and conclusion.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Aradhna Kumari</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>JNKVV, India</b>