

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120477
Title of the Manuscript:	TEACHERS' AND STUDENTS' PERCEPTIONS ON LEARNING ENGLISH LANGUAGE
Type of the Article	Research Paper

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript mainly brings out the advantages of learning English in different aspects of life of a person. Further, it records some strategies and challenges of learning English. It is a kind of qualitative research done on the perception of teachers and students on learning English in Bhutan. It reveals the prevailing situation of English learning background of Bhutan. It might be applicable to any other country where English is learnt as a second language. Further it emphasizes on significance of learning English.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The given title is a general one. It is better to narrow down the article. The title is wide. The scope of "English Language" is wide. Better to consider one aspect of English language. The research is based on "Learning English in Bhutan". Name of the country is not mentioned in the title. Most of the part in the research deals with significance of learning English. Therefore it is suggested to add that part to the title. Even in findings the author has mentioned the benefits of learning English language. It is about "Teachers' and students' perceptions on learning English language and its significance in Bhutan.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is somewhat comprehensive, but it should be written as one paragraph. Better to delete the sub titles. When findings are presented, give them specifically with percentages. Findings should be elaborated some more with the statistics. In concluding part, recommendation is given. These are two different things. The conclusion should be something related to learning English and its significance. The abstract should be around 250 – 300 word limit.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It would be better if the author could write the introduction in detail first followed by the significance of the study. Next, the background of the study, Research problem, Research questions, Methodology, Research design, Data presentation and analysis, discussion and findings and conclusion should come. These were visible throughout the paper but not in order. No need to write aims when there are research questions.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The researcher has followed qualitative method and constructive paradigm. Research was based on research questions. It includes other aspects of research methodology such as research paradigm, design, data collection method, data analysis, data triangulation, study trustworthiness, sampling and sampling size, and ethical considerations. Semi-structured interviews and observation were used as data collection tools. But statistics were not included in findings. It is a weak point.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	All the in text references should be included in final bibliography. Recent references are taken. The references should follow an accepted system; Harvard or IPA. References should be in alphabetical order. Pay attention to where the particular year should be included in references.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality is suitable for a scholarly article except certain places where SMS language is visible.	
Optional/General comments	As the research explores a wide area it is difficult to focus on a specific area. It affects the correctness of the research findings. The research includes the relevant methodology, paradigm, research questions etc. The research brings out a specific situation in Bhutan, therefore the name of the country should be added to the title. In most places in text references were given but at the very beginning it was not there when a strong statement is mentioned. It would be better if the data were presented in graphs or charts. The findings should be given in percentages. The structure should be edited. It is somewhat good research if the drawbacks are eliminated.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	H. S. M. Ovitigama
Department, University & Country	Regional English Support Centre, Sri Lanka