

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Hematology Research Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AHRJ_121104</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Severe Thrombocytopenia Associated with Dengue Fever and its Management by Using Platelet Concentrators</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Its an important aspect to discuss in Dengue management because thrombocytopenia is one of the cardinal features of Dengue infection and use of platelet concentrate remains controversial.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Role of Platelet concentrate in managing Dengue fever associated Thrombocytopenia	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is very poorly written and lacks clarity.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Subsections and structures are poorly designed and written. There's a lot of information copy pasted from different studies without any flow giving an impression of haphazard collection of different studies without any meaningful conclusion.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Manuscript needs major revision. Methodology regarding search, key words used, criteria for inclusion of studies and tabulation of data is all missing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Sufficient and recent but not appropriately used in the manuscript. Introduction starts with reference 47-9. There's duplication in references too.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English needs a lot of improvement. Multiple spelling and formatting errors.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Somia Iqtadar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>King Edward Medical University, Pakistan</b>