

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_122017
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic Analysis of Papaya Farming in Chitradurga: Production and Marketing Perspectives
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript reports important information regarding Papaya crop production and marketing in Chitradurga. The paper is relevant and fits the theme of this journal.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable and relevant to the content in the manuscript.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is suitable and sufficient.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections are sufficient and well described.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The introduction provides a good background with a good readability and flow. However, it should be enhanced by adding more relevant references. ➤ In the objectives, replace the word "address" with "identify". ➤ Are the Taruks identical in population size and papaya cultivation? This should form the basis of number of participants. ➤ The authors have not discussed their results in relation to other studies. ➤ Conclusions should only contain facts without repetition of resulting or discussing them. Much of the content in the conclusion involving reporting of results, discussion and the referenced content should be taken to the results and discussion section. ➤ Authors should make sure that all references appearing in the text also appear in the reference list. Further, this section should be enhanced by adding more references. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references need to be enhanced and make sure every reference appearing in the text, appears in the list and vice versa. For example, Mate, 2006 appears in the text but not in the list.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is fine but authors must review for grammatical and typo errors	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bonface Manono
Department, University & Country	Colorado State University, USA