

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_119071
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancing Construction Industry Performance: Assessing the Impact of Knowledge Management Practices on Process Improvement in Lagos State Contracting Firm
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the MS is important for the scientific community, though parallel papers appeared in the literature based on state selected. The author's findings can be subjected to SME construction in this state.</p> <p>Yes, the title is ok</p> <p>Generally, the opening sentence is related to the worldwide scope of the topic selected for research and may be filtered to South Asia, to Logos state. However, the abstract is ok (Compressive) The subsections and structure of the MS are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes,</p> <p>Scientifically correct, however, some corrections are directed</p> <p>References are up to the year 2022, no recent reference on the same topic is included suggestion: Egwunatum 23 Aug. 2023 .implementation.....constructionSMEs .management research, vol.23, no.1, 2023,pp12-29, may be added. If possible, the Author may search and add 2024 References for updating.</p> <p>Corrections: On page no.6 and page no 7 figures 5,6, and 7, the sum is not 100%; a book or highly reputed journal paper reference in methodology may be added.To me field 2009, Analysis of field data, child [2009] not clear on page 9,10,11.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Satisfactory, suitable for communication</p> <p>i) Write up language is lucid, scientific and understandable (ii) Paper formatting is well arranged (ii) Strongly analysed survey data by selecting combination of statistical methods and found relevant(iv) recent published data should be incorporated as old reference is from 2004 and recent is from 2020(from list of reference).</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>An author should take care of writing spelling percent, sometime it is ok; number of times it is per cent, maintain uniform word.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ar. Gayatri Mahajan
Department, University & Country	Symbiosis Skills and Professional University, India