

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_120278
Title of the Manuscript:	Multivariate Regression Model for Stature Estimation from Arm Span, Horizontal Fingertip Reach and Foot Length of Igbo Ethnic Group of Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript adds to forensic field of identifying a focused rare ethnicity. The regression analysis gives potent outcome and inference of the parameters that can be used for person and identification. This help the scientific community to explore and validate the accuracy of other skeletal and dental parameters	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Must add more in conclusion – Include the applications of this regression analysis in future aspects of forensic identification	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The reference citation is not in order. Continuous citation without skipping numbers inbetween is needed for scientific correctness.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	yes	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is a need for grammar check and editing. Lot of misjudgement in use of verbs. Sentence construction should be improved.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	A complete grammar check is necessary and rewrite accordingly. Add more on the recent most data with the same parameters	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Durgadevi Boopathi
Department, University & Country	Pondicherry University, India