

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_121001</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Comprehensive Review on Biofortification in Vegetable Crops</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Gives information regarding bio-fortification of vegetable crops. Adds a piece of information for scientific community. Gives clue for nutritionist and alternative to bio-fortification.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Importance and methods of bio-fortification in vegetable crops	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It lacks clear objective and valid summary/conclusion	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, with some modification to title	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Provision of gathered scientific knowledge regarding bio fortification, it importance and techniques related to vegetable crops. With some modifications, it fits to scientific technicality.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	I already included them in the document. Some references are old must be replaced by the recent ones. Some indicated in the body are missed in the reference list and the vice versa. Some parts are very shallowly reviewed and referenced.	
Minor REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	With minor revision	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	As indicated above!!	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abrham Shumbulo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia</b>