

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_121156</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>IMPACT OF BLACK SOLDIER FLY LARVAL FRASS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF CLUSTER BEAN (CYAMOPSIS TETRAGONOLOBA L.)</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is suitable for helping to develop knowledge in the subject of research	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The text has been evaluated and is suitable for correctness	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English language should be revised (primarily typos and punctuation, are needed). The text has minor writing errors.</p> <p>To complete the previous studies section, it is better to include the articles of 2022 and later in the Introduction section.</p> <p>it is necessary to pay more attention to the editing and writing fonts of the texts and bolds and make them uniform.</p> <p>Tables used in the article should be accompanied by analytical explanations in the form of text.</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mehrtash Eskandaripour</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Isfahan University of Technology (IUT), Iran</b>