

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_121614
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Thermal Conditions on pH During Kombucha Fermentation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There are many studies in the literature on kombucha fermentation. Many features such as kombucha microbiota, physicochemical properties, volatile compound profile, functional properties have been examined. In this study, only pH was measured and the conclusion was reached. Unfortunately, I think it is quite insufficient in terms of originality and contribution to the literature.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract can be enriched by developing the subject of the article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	A few corrections should to be made. I marked it on the text	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Studies have been conducted examining the effect of temperature on acidity in kombucha fermentation. The study can be improved by adding a different perspective.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. =	There are other studies examining the effects of temperature and time combinations on kombucha fermentation. Katarzyna Neffe-Skocińska, Barbara Sionek, Iwona Ścibisz & Danuta Kołożyn-Krajewska (2017) Acid contents and the effect of fermentation condition of Kombucha tea beverages on physicochemical, microbiological and sensory properties, CyTA - Journal of Food, 15:4, 601-607, DOI: 10.1080/19476337.2017.1321588.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English support can be obtained from a native speaker	
Optional/General comments	I recommend that the study be re-prepared by adding new and current titles.	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hilal Kilmanoglu
Department, University & Country	Kutahya Dumlupinar University, Türkiye