

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_121188
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPLETION OF WEAKLY SIGN SYMMETRIC PO-MATRIX PROBLEM FOR 5×5 MATRICES SPECIFYING DIGRAPHS OF ORDER 5 WITH UP TO 5 ARCS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript model the prediction of filling the data gaps and market trends . The application of the use of Zero completion method to complete the arcs for Po Matrix. The work also extended the understanding of weakly sign symmetric po matrix to matrix of order 5 i.e 5*5 matrix.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is precise and clear.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is ok but the author to highlight the application of this work on data survey and business	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	They are ok, however under preliminaries the author to include a Theorem to support the work before lemma	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author has use the knowledge of linear algebra, group theory and graph theory very well in this work. The illustration of Diagraphs D of order 5 with 1 arch to order 5 with 5 arcs is clearly given. Diagraphs which are cyclic and acyclic has been clearly distinguish.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	This needs to be counter checked references given are not all cited in the text eg (2)	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	The manuscripts can be accepted subject to the above improvements	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Johana Kibet Sigey
Department, University & Country	Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture And Technology, Kenya