

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_121329
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Educational Program on Knowledge and Preventive Practices Regarding Foot Ulcers among Diabetic Patients in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is well-structured and presented in a logical sequence.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is well-structured and presented in a logical sequence.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No suggestion	

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language can be improved</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Dear Author , The article explores the impact of Diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) and evaluates the effect of an educational program on knowledge and preventive practices regarding diabetic foot ulcers among diabetic patients in Bangladesh, The research is well-structured and presented in a logical sequence. To enhance the article further, I recommend the following improvements:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract Clarification: Please elaborate on or provide a separate description detailing the quasi-experimental analyses mentioned in the abstract. 2. Clarify Statement in Abstract: The statement " The educational program was effective in enhancing both knowledge and preventive practices related to diabetic foot ulcers among diabetic patients in Bangladesh " requires a clearer explanation or context. 3. Consistency in Study Period: Please mention the study period; after the IRB approval as well as the Ethical approval no. 4. Questionnaire Description: Instead of "created questionnaire," use "developed" or "prepared questionnaire" to describe the process accurately. 5. IRB Approval Mention: Please mention the study period throughout the manuscript to reflect the IRB approval date as well as the commencement date of the study. 6. Conclusion Section: Expand the conclusion section to provide more detailed insights beyond what is currently summarized in the abstract. It should encompass a deeper discussion of the findings and their implications. <p>These suggestions aim to refine the clarity, accuracy, and completeness of the study.</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Rokeya Sultana</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Yenepoya Pharmacy College & Research Centre, India</p>