

Review Form 1.8

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_120209
Title of the Manuscript:	IDENTIFICATION OF THE INSTITUTIONAL FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE PAIN VALUATION FOR SEVERELY SICK PATIENTS AMONG NURSES AT SELECTED HOSPITALS IN KIAMBU COUNTY KENYA.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.8

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	the manuscript important for scientific community as its supply the researchers and healthcare workers with basic knowledge about factors that affecting pain management in critically ill patients	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes While it needs to write all prepositions in small letters	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	It is comprehensive and cover all research variables But need some modifications to decrease sentences and words (200-250 words)	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Needs more clarification for research question in relation with the study purpose Results were comprehensive and appropriate	
Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? (Please write few sentences regarding this manuscript to justify your answer)	There are variant spelling mistakes needs revision There are number of abbreviations needs some clarifications	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	Sufficient in the text while there are missed referenced didn't mentioned in the glossary	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need editing	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samah El Garhy Masry
Department, University & Country	Menoufia University, Egypt