

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_121353
Title of the Manuscript:	Single-Center Clinical Analysis of Drug-Induced Liver Injury in Children with Acute Leukemia
Type of the Article	Original article " A retrospective study"

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>Drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is a critical concern, especially in pediatric patients with acute leukemia.</p> <p>Understanding the risk factors, mechanisms, and outcomes of DILI is crucial for optimizing treatment strategies and minimizing harm.</p> <p>The study's single-center approach provides valuable insights specific to the patient population at that center.</p> <p>The findings from this manuscript could directly impact clinical practice. Recommendations for monitoring, early detection, and management of DILI in this vulnerable group of patients could improve outcomes and reduce morbidity.</p>	
<p><b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b></p>	<p>The title "Single-Center Clinical Analysis of Drug-Induced Liver Injury in Children with Acute Leukemia" seems appropriate for the article. It highlights the focus on drug-induced liver injury in pediatric patients with acute leukemia.</p>	
<p><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b></p>	<p>The abstract provided is quite comprehensive and covers essential aspects of the study on drug-induced liver injury (DILI) in pediatric patients with ALL during chemotherapy.</p>	
<p><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b></p>	<p>The manuscript has a <b>Practical &amp; clinical Implications</b>; the findings from this manuscript could directly impact clinical practice.</p> <p>Recommendations for monitoring, early detection, and management of DILI in this vulnerable group of patients could improve outcomes and reduce morbidity.</p> <p>Such focused research helps build a comprehensive understanding of adverse drug reactions in pediatric oncology.</p> <p>Overall, this article provides valuable insights for clinicians, researchers, and healthcare practitioners dealing with pediatric ALL patients. It emphasizes the need for vigilant monitoring and timely interventions to mitigate DILI risks during chemotherapy.</p>	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p>	<p>Yes, most of them in the last 4 years</p>	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language quality of the article appears to be suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I recommend accepting the manuscript for publication. It meets the scholarly standards and contributes valuable insights to the field.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Eman Nasreldin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Assiut University, Egypt</b>