

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_121460
Title of the Manuscript:	An Examination of the Relationships between Pre-service teachers' Test Anxiety, Use of Social Networking Sites, and Cumulative Grade Point Average
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In this article it is tried to establish the correlation between Pre-service teachers' Test Anxiety, Use of Social Networking Sites, and Cumulative Grade Point Average. It was found that there is a significant positive moderate correlation between test anxiety and the use of social networking sites among pre-service teachers. It was also found that, statistically, there was no relationship between test anxiety and CGPA, and CGPA and use of social networking sites. This is important findings regarding Pre-service teachers'.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The reliability of the instrument is checked and found satisfactory. The responses of the pre-service teachers have been analyzed using Pearson's Product Moment Correlation Co-efficient, mean, and frequency. Significance of relationship between Pre-service teachers' Test Anxiety, Use of Social Networking Sites, and Cumulative Grade Point Average checked.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The proper methodology has been followed for this research work. A significant moderate positive correlation is found between the anxiety and social media use of the pre-service teachers. Sufficient references has been taken.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Radheshyam H. Gajghat
Department, University & Country	Christian College of Engg. & Tech, India