# DEVELOPMENT OF TPACK-BASED PROJECT-BASED *LEARNING* MODEL TO IMPROVE SKILLS *FOUR* CS STUDENTS


## ABSTRACT

This research aims: 1) to know the description of the needs of the TPACK-based PjBL model; 2) to produce a TPACK-based PjBL model design; 3) to know the feasibility of developing a TPACK-based PjBL model; 4) to know the practicality of the TPACK-based PjBL model; 5) to know the effectiveness of the TPACK-based PjBL model to improve the Four Cs skills of PGSD students at Muhammadiyah University Enrekang. The research and development design uses the Plomp model which consists of preliminary research, prototyping phase, assessment phase. The data collection techniques used were Questionnaire Techniques, and Observation. The research instrument used a learning device validation sheet, a learning implementation observation instrument, a student response instrument, a four Cs skills assessment instrument. The results showed that it was necessary to develop a TPACK-based PjBL model to improve students' four Cs skills. The design of the PjBL-TPACK model in the form of a model book, SAP, LKM, and several research instruments was declared very valid based on expert judgment. The results of the practicality test showed that the TPACK-based PjBL model was proven to be very good, based on the results of observation and implementation of learning and student response questionnaires. Furthermore, the results of the effectiveness test through the assessment of students' four Cs skills show that there is a significant difference in the application of the PjBL model with the TPACK-based PjBL model on four cs skills between PGSD class A students and PGSD class B students.



**Keywords***: PjBL, TPACK, Four Cs Skills.*


## INTRODUCTION

The biggest challenge faced by the government in the field of education is *Education Technology* in the 21st Century. The occurrence of a 21st century educational paradigm shift is the impact of significant developments in communication technology in the Digital era (Hasibuan, Zainal, A., 2018), which has an impact on the world of education. Therefore, students are expected to develop "*Learning by Activities*" which aims to produce students who have Four Cs skills (*critical thinking and problem solving, communication, collaboration, creativity, and innovation*).

The Four Cs skills are an essential component of 21st century education that equips students with the ability to think deeply, solve problems effectively, communicate clearly, cooperate productively, and innovate and create. The development of these skills is not only important in the academic context, but also vital in preparing students to face complex challenges in the future, both in professional and personal life (Kivunja, 2014; Trilling & Fadel, 2009; Facione, 2011; Lippl, 2013; Piascik, 2015; Coulson, 2006; Muijs & Reynolds, 2011; Gerald, 2015; Handsley, 2011; Johnson & Johnson, 2009; Killen, 2013; IBSA, 2009; The Partnership for 21st Century Skills, 2015). Therefore, the PGSD Study Program at FKIP UNIMEN as one of the Educational Personnel Education Institutions (LPTK), of course, expects each of its graduates to be able to answer challenges by having the Four Cs skills. So that the learning process in higher education is expected to contribute to the mastery of skills in accordance with technological and scientific developments (Absari, Priyanto, & Muslikhin, 2020).

In 21st century learning, teachers are required to have TPACK (*Technological, Pedagogical, Content Knowledge*) knowledge that can integrate technology, pedagogy and content in learning (Mishra and Koehler, 2006). Several studies that discuss the TPACK ability of teachers and prospective teachers have been conducted. Most studies focus on measuring TPACK ability (Giannakos et al, 2015; Urban et al, 2018; Vivian and Valker; 2019) and measuring teachers' perceptions of TPACK (Luik, et al, 2018 and Redmond, 2019). The results of these studies mostly show that the TPACK ability of prospective teachers is still not good, especially in Asian countries. Although technology integration in education is increasing, there are still gaps in its implementation. According to Turmuzi and Kurniawan (2021), the competence of prospective teacher students in the implementation of learning is lowest in lesson planning and technology utilization. From these results, it can be concluded that the pedagogical competence of prospective teachers in LPTK still needs to be improved. Therefore, the development of TPACK-based learning models is needed to improve the competence of prospective teachers, especially in terms of lesson planning and technology utilization. This is

important to prepare future teachers who are able to integrate technology effectively in the learning process.

Given the important role of teachers in preparing students to have Four Cs skills, a creative effort is needed in designing an innovative learning model, where students will be made the center of learning (*student-centered learning*), so that they become more active and creative in developing Four Cs skills by integrating TPACK which emphasizes the relationship between the constituent components that are integrated between content, pedagogy and technology in the context of learning. So, it is expected that students will be able to improve Four Cs skills. One of the suitable models is the Project-Based Learning (PjBL) model.

Wena (Lestari, 2015: 14) states that the *Project Based Learning* model is a learning model that provides opportunities for educators to manage learning in the classroom by involving project work. This can be done through the introduction of various knowledge and skills using technology and then honing their skills in communicating and solving problems (Bell, 2010). The success of PjBL is because the activities that students do are authentic and have a strong connection to the real world. Learning skills and concepts in a real context produces relevant and meaningful learning, so that students can apply the skills and concepts they get directly and not only understand at the level of theory. Therefore, the selection of this model aims to guide students in a collaborative project that integrates various subjects (materials) of the curriculum, provides opportunities for students to explore content (materials) using technology, and conduct experiments collaboratively.

## METHODS

The type of research used in this research is Plomp model development research which consists of (1) *preliminary research*, (2) *prototyping phase*, and (3) *assessment phase* (Plomp, 2013). The type of data in this study is, namely data obtained from expert results including validation of model books, SAP, LKM and research instruments. The product design was then tested on the implementation of the usage trial in the form of 1) observation results of learning implementation, 2) students' four Cs skills, 3) student responses to the TPACK-based PjBL model. The quality of the product design of the development model is based on the criteria of validity, practicality and effectiveness. Testing the effectiveness of the development model product is done by looking at the value of the student's *Four Cs* skills, then interpreting the student'*s Four Cs* skills referring to table 1.

**Table 1. Four Cs Skill Assessment Categories**

| Interval | Category | Description |
|---|---|---|
| 75 < PK ≤ 100 | Very good | Exemplary student skills that exceed the standard |
| 50 < PK ≤ 75 | Good | Students' skills have met the standard targeted in each lesson. |
| 25 < PK ≤ 50 | Not good | Student skills that have not reached the standard |
| 0 < PK ≤ 25 | Not good | Student skills are not up to expectations and require significant support |

(Source: Rubrics for 21st$^{st}$ Century Skills. (2015). Tucson, Arizona)

To test the difference in the application of the learning model using an independent t-test on the improvement of students' Four Cs skills, an analysis requirement test was first carried out using SPSS 29 software.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Model development needs analysis

The results of observations and interviews that have been conducted at the PGSD FKIP UNIMEN Study Program in Enrekang Regency obtained data that generally lecturers tend to use technology in a limited way and more often as a passive teaching aid, such as the use of PowerPoint for material delivery, rather than as a tool for constructing critical thinking through simulation, modeling, or interactive data analysis. This is certainly not in accordance with the demands of the UNIMEN FKIP PGSD Curriculum based on Outcome Based Education (OBE), which emphasizes the sustainability of the learning process in an innovative, interactive, and effective way. so that the profile of graduates of the S1 Primary School Teacher Education Study Program can be achieved.

To prepare students as future educators, researchers, and edupreneurs, and have competencies that are in accordance with the needs of the times. As a digital native generation, PGSD students generally tend to adopt technology in learning. This is in line with the concept of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) introduced by Mishra and Koehler (2006) that understanding and mastering TPACK is important for PGSD students to be able to integrate technology effectively in their future teaching practices. This is in line with Ertmer & Ottenbreit-

Leftwich's (2010) research which emphasizes the importance of integrating technology in the preparation of prospective teachers.

Based on this analysis, it shows that the chosen course design is Media and Learning Resources in SD by considering the characteristics and needs of PGSD students. Therefore, the development of learning models that accommodate cognitive development, diversity of learning styles, technological skills, social-collaborative aspects, and the development of pedagogical competencies and Four Cs skills must be well reflected in the CPMK and Sub-CPMK formulated. One of the learning designs that is in accordance with the characteristics of the course and PGSD students is the Project-based learning (PjBL) model. Through the PjBL-TPACK model, students can solve problems related to media and learning resources in SD critically, creatively, and innovatively. It is certainly expected that students will be able to develop collaborative learning interaction patterns so that student communication skills can also develop optimally.

Project activities in the PjBL-TPACK model become a forum for accommodation of students' four Cs skills so that learning will be more in-depth and applicable. This is very logical because students not only gain theoretical and practical understanding of the application and development of technology-based SD media and learning resources but also develop the essential abilities needed for professional success as prospective SD teachers in the digital era so that they are able to compete in the future.

PjBL-TPACK Model Design

The model design of the PjBL-TPACK model was developed based on the results of preliminary research through needs and context analysis and the results of the literature review. Joyce, Weil, & Calhoun (2016) mentioned that a model has main components, namely syntax, social system, reaction principles, support system and instructional impact and accompanying impact.

The syntax of the PjBL-TPACK model was developed based on the results of the analysis of the weaknesses of the PjBL model, then minimizing these weaknesses by integrating the Technological Pedagogical and Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework into the learning process. Based on the results of this analysis, the syntax of the hypothetical model (PjBL-TPACK) to improve students' four Cs skills consists of 6 stages including 1) Orientation, 2) Fundamental questions, 3) Designing and Developing project schedules, 4) Monitoring project implementation, 5) Project presentation and assessment, and 6) Reflection.

The social system in this model is built by forming small groups so that students in the group will collaborate and communicate together in working on projects. Discussions that occur in the group will stimulate students' thinking to think critically in dealing with the problems given. While the reaction principle is that the lecturer provides constructive feedback to students regarding the project to be worked on. This feedback is not only about the final product, but also about how pedagogical skills and content can be integrated into the learning process, use of technology, and applications.

The instructional impact of this PjBL-TPACK model is (a) students can examine the concepts of media and learning resources in SD, namely students are able to apply concepts and design media and learning resources in SD, (b) students are able to find, analyze and provide solutions to learning problems in SD through the development and utilization of SD media and learning resources in accordance with the characteristics of students, and (c) students are able to apply innovative ICT-based media and learning resources, especially in the life of the world of education as a professional teacher's duty in SD. While the accompanying impact of this PjBL-TPACK model is the increasing skills of the Four Cs, namely critical thinking, creativity, collaboration and communication and the ability to use technology in developing ICT-based learning media through this learning. The supporting systems needed in the PjBL-TPACK model include 1) Lecture Program Unit (SAP), Teaching Materials and 3) Student Worksheet (LKM).

Feasibility of PjBL-TPACK Model

The feasibility test of the model by experts or expert judgment aims to assess the feasibility of the PjBL-TPACK model and its supporting devices from experts in their fields. Prior to the feasibility test by experts, researchers conducted a self-evaluation of the model book, SAP, LKM, and the four Cs skills assessment sheet to investigate errors that occurred. From the results of this self-evaluation, it was found that it needed to be revised to ensure that each component had met the assessment indicators.

Product validation of the PjBL-TPACK model was assessed by experts. After the final validation is carried out to the validator, data analysis is then carried out based on the value given by the validator. The results of the assessment of the two experts on the product design of the TPACK-based PjBL model to improve students' four Cs skills can be seen in table 2.

**Table 2. Results of expert validation of the PjBL-TPACK Model**

| Validator | Model Book | SAP | LKM | Student Response Questionnaire | Observation of learning implementation | 4Cs Skills Assessment |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Expert 1 | 77,3<br>Valid | 83,75<br>Very Valid | 80,77<br>Very Valid | 87,5<br>Very Valid | 78,3<br>Valid | 81,25<br>Very Valid |
| Expert 2 | 91,1<br>Very Valid | 97,5<br>Very Valid | 98,1<br>Very Valid | 95<br>Very Valid | 83,3<br>Very Valid | 96,5<br>Very Valid |

Based on table 2, the product design of the PjBL-TPACK model to improve students' four Cs skills is categorized as very valid, so the PjBL-TPACK model design product is suitable for use in research. Furthermore, formative evaluation is carried out at the stage of *one-to-one evaluation*, *small group evaluation, and field test*. For more details, it can be displayed in table 5.

**Table 3. Formative evaluation result data**

| Evaluation | Student Response Questionnaire | Observation of learning implementation | 4Cs Skills Assessment |
|---|---|---|---|
| One to one | 83,3<br>Very Practical | 84,61<br>Very Practical | - |
| Small Group | 83,69<br>Very Practical | 87,6<br>Very Practical | 78<br>Very good<br>(Over standard) |

## Practicality of the PjB -TPACK Model

The field test stage was carried out to obtain data on the practicality of the PjBL-TPACK model to improve the four Cs skills. Data obtained from the results of observations of the implementation of the PjBL-TPACK model and the Student Response Questionnaire to the PjBL-TPACK model. The following is the data on the results of observations of the implementation of the PjBL-TPACK learning model to improve the skills of four Cs students of the PGSD FKIP UNIMEN Study Program.

**Table 4. Implementation Observation Results at the *field test* stage**

| No. | Meeting | Average Assessment Results (%) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | PGSD A | Category | PGSD B | Category |
| 1 | Meeting 1 | 78,85 | Practical | 79,81 | Practical |
| 2 | Meeting 2 | 85,58 | Very Practical | 86,54 | Very Practical |
| 3 | Meeting 3 | 93,27 | Very Practical | 94,23 | Very Practical |
| | Average | 85,90 | Very Practical | 86,86 | Very Practical |

The data in table 4 shows the average score of learning implementation in PGSD A class of 85.90 and 86.86 for PGSD B with very practical criteria for all aspects of the assessment, this means that all aspects observed are in very practical criteria.

Data on the results of student responses to the PjBL-TPACK model were conducted to determine student opinions about the implementation of the PjBL-TPACK model. Data on the results of student responses to the PjBL-TPACK Model can be seen in 6.

**Table 5. Results of Student Response Questionnaire Analysis at the *field test* stage**

| No. | Assessment Aspect | Average Assessment Results (%) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | PGSD A | Category | PGSD B | Category |
| 1 | Ease of following the TPACK-based PjBL model | 81,4 | Very Practical | 81,67 | Very Practical |
| 2 | Benefits of TPACK-based PjBL model | 83,09 | Very Practical | 83,18 | Very Practical |
| 3 | Implementation of the TPACK-based PjBL model | 83,33 | Very Practical | 83,88 | Very Practical |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | Lecturer's role in learning | 88,75 | Very Practical | 89 | Very Practical |
| | Average | 84,14 | Very Practical | 84,43 | Very Practical |

Based on table 5, it shows that the percentage of the average score is 84.14 for PGSD A and 84.43 for PGSD B respectively with a very practical category. This means that the PjBL-TPACK model makes it easier for students to carry out projects because it is assisted by LKM and teaching materials that are easily accessible to students.

## Effectiveness of PjBL - TPACK Model

To find out the increase in students' Four Cs skills after the application of the PjBL-TPACK model, it can compare the average score of four cs skills obtained at each meeting with the two classes that are sampled. The data on the results of the assessment of students' four Cs skills can be seen in 6.

**Table 6. Comparison of Students' Four Cs Skills Assessment Results**

| Meeting. | ***Critical Thinking & Problem Solving*** | | ***Creativity & Innovation*** | | ***Collaboration*** | | ***Communication*** | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PGSD A | PGSD B | PGSD A | PGSD B | PGSD A | PGSD B | PGSD A | PGSD B |
| 1 | 72,5 | 73,1 | 74,5 | 74,8 | 79,3 | 82,7 | 76,3 | 78,7 |
| 2 | 75,0 | 75,0 | 78,6 | 79,3 | 82,8 | 84,3 | 80,8 | 80,4 |
| 3 | 82 | 84 | 83,9 | 83,8 | 86,5 | 86,1 | 84 | 85 |
| Average | 76,5 | 77,4 | 79 | 79,3 | 82,9 | 84,4 | 80,5 | 81,4 |
| category | Very good | Very good | Very good | Very good | Very good | Very good | Very good | Very good |

Based on the data from the assessment of students' four Cs skills after applying the PjBL-TPACK model, it shows a significant increase with the achievement criteria exceeding the standard so that it is exemplary. The effectiveness of the PjBL-TPACK model was carried out with an independent sample t-test. The results of the independent sample t-test test obtained sig value. = 0.530 > 0.05. Thus, H0 is accepted. So, it can be concluded that there is no significant difference in the application of the PjBL-TPACK model on four cs skills between PGSD A and PGSD B students.

The PjBL-TPACK model was developed as a place to develop students' Four Cs skills so that one of the parameters of the model's effectiveness is the four Cs skills. The results of data analysis showed that students' Four Cs skills in the four aspects assessed showed significant achievement with categories exceeding the standard (very good).

Critical thinking and problem-solving skills are developed through challenging the fundamental questions that are the focus of the project. Through fundamental questions, students are trained to find solutions to complex problems. This, of course, requires the ability to formulate hypotheses, plan research, and test proposed solutions. To achieve the project objectives, students must be able to reach agreement and appreciate different points of view through discussion activities. These discussions allow students to see problems from multiple perspectives, test new ideas, and work collaboratively to find effective solutions. So, this skill is very valuable for PGSD students who will later become professional teachers.

Creative thinking skills (creativity and innovation) in the PjBL-TPACK model are developed when students identify the problem to be solved. At this stage, students develop their thoughts to design the project and develop a schedule to complete the project. The activity of designing the project design is carried out in collaboration between students and lecturers. In this activity, the lecturer carried out the following activities: (1) Include rules in making projects; (2) Select learning activities; (3) Create learning activity objectives; (4) Create instructions that guide student performance in project completion; (5) Include tools and materials that can be accessed by students to help complete the project. In relation to preparing a schedule, students are expected to be able to divide tasks in a structured manner so that later the project can be completed on time. To facilitate schedule management, the use of technology such as the Trello application can increase the effectiveness of group work. This is in line with what was done by Chen, C.-H., & Yang, Y.-C. (2019) the use of technology in designing projects facilitates creative and critical thinking. Technology as a tool to develop innovative ideas and solve problems in new ways.

The PjBL-TPACK model provides opportunities for students to collaborate (collaboration) so that they can construct their knowledge through project activities. The social interaction that occurs through group work encourages students to share and accept views from fellow group members. The use of digital technology such as online discussion forums and project management applications (Trello)

helps students learn to work together more effectively. This is in line with the research study conducted by Ligori, A (2023) that the technology-based PjBL Learning model has been shown to increase the efficiency of collaboration between students. The increase indicates that the PjBL model not only provides academic knowledge, but also facilitates the development of collaboration skills. The utilization of online learning platforms such as google classroom and digital communication tools (WhatsApp, Trello) helps students to coordinate better and share information efficiently, which contributes to the quality of project outcomes and the overall learning experience.

Communication skills are developed in group discussion activities, presentation of project results and presentation of project results. The intended communication is both oral and written communication. Oral communication occurs when students discuss in groups and when presenting the results of their work. This activity facilitates students to develop their ability to express their opinions and listen to the views of others. Meanwhile, written communication is developed when students express their ideas, ideas and creative thinking in compiling problem solutions in written form. Posters, or PowerPoint slides. The MFI as a support system for the PjBL-TPACK model has been designed to encourage students' communication skills. This communication also increases with the use of media and technology in conveying information. The utilization of Google Meet media and Trello applications as well as communication media and presentation media (google slides) has been used by students to convey their messages and ideas.

## CONCLUSIONS

The developed PjBL-TPACK model has a syntax of Orientation, 2) Fundamental questions, 3) Designing and Developing a project schedule, 4) Monitoring project implementation, 5) Project presentation and assessment, and 6) Reflection. The developed PjBL-TPACK model has been tested valid and practical for use in learning. The developed PjBL-TPACK model is also proven to be able to improve the four Cs skills of prospective elementary school teachers.

## REFERENCES


Absari, Nalurita. Priyanto, & Muslikhin. (2020). The Effectiveness of Technology, Pedagogy, and Content Knowledge (TPACK) in Learning. Journal of Technology and Vocational Education. Vol. 26, No.1, May 2020. Accessed from https://doi.org/ 10.21831/jptk. v26i1.24012.

Chen, C.-H., & Yang, Y.-C. (2019). Revisiting the effects of project-based learning on students' academic achievement and attitudes: A meta-analysis. Educational Research Review, 26, 71-81.

Coulson, M. (2006). Developing Teachers' Cognitive Clarity and Communication Style through an Inservice Training Program. Doctoral Dissertation, Newcastle, New South Wales: Faculty of Education and Arts, University of Newcastle.

Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher technology change: How knowledge, confidence, beliefs, and culture intersect. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 42(3), 255-284.

Facione, P. A. (2011). *Measured Reasons and Critical Thinking*. Millbrae, CA: The California Academic Press.

Gerald, M. C. (2015). Preparing students for the 21st century: Integrating technology and 21st century skills in teacher education programs. Journal of Education and Practice, 6(24), 71-77.

Giannakos, M. N., Divitini, M., & Jaccheri, L. (2015). Perceptions of students' learning critical thinking through coding. ACM Transactions on Computing Education (TOCE), 15(2), 1-19.

Handsley, E. (2011). *Good Practice Guide: Collaboration Skills Threshold Learning Outcome 5 Promoting Excellence in Higher Education*. Surry Hills, NSW: Australian Learning & Teaching Council.

Hasibuan, Z, A. (2018). Apply *Learning by Activities to* Face the Era of Industrial Revolution. "https://lldikti10.kemdikbud.go.id/detail/terapkan-learning-by-activities-hadapi-era-revolus"0https://lldikti10.kemdikbud.go.id/detail/terapkan-learning-by-activities-hadapi-era-revolus . 2023-August.

IBSA. (2009). *The Innovation and Business Industry Skills Council of Australia; Developing Innovation Skills: A Guide for Trainers and Assessors to Foster the Innovation Skills of Learners through Professional Practice*. East Melbourne, Victoria: Australian Government, Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Education.

Johnson, D.W., & Johnson, F. (2009). *Joining Together: Group Theory and Group Skills* (10th Ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.

Joyce, B., Weil, M., & Calhoun, E. (2016). *Models of Teaching* (9th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson.

Kivunja, C. (2014). Innovative pedagogies in higher education to become effective teachers of 21st century skills: Unpacking the learning and innovations skills domain of the new learning paradigm. International Journal of Higher Education, 3(4), 37-48.

Lestari, I. (2015). Implementation of Project Based Learning model in improving 21st century skills. Journal of Education and Teaching, 14(1), 14-26.
Ligori, A. (2023). Implementation of technology-based PjBL learning model in improving 21st century skills. Journal of Education and Technology, 35(2), 102-115.
Luik, P., & Kukemelk, H. (2018). Teachers' self-assessment of technological pedagogical content knowledge in the context of digital learning resources. Education and Information Technologies, 23(3), 1085-1100.
Masnur, M., Nadar, N., & Elihami, E. (2021). Improving Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) for Elementary School Teachers 172 Enrekang in Supporting Professionalism. MASPUL JOURNAL OF COMMUNITY EMPOWERMENT, 3(2), 54-59. Retrieved from https://ummaspul.e-journal.id/pengabdian/article/view/5621.
Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. Teachers College Record, 108(6), 1017-1054.
Muijs, D., & Reynolds, D. (2011). *Effective Teaching: Evidence and Practice* (3rd[rd] ed.). Los Angels, CA: Sage.
Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2015). Rubrics for 21st Century Skills. Tucson, AZ: Partnership for 21st Century Skills.
Piascik, D. (2015). Preparing America's Students for College and Career: Common Core Learning Standards. http://www.mspiascik.weebly.com/common-core-learningstandards.html.
Plomp, T. (2013). Educational Design Research: An Introduction. Netherlands.
Redmond, P. (2019). Examining teachers' perceptions of their TPACK development in a collaborative digital storytelling project. Journal of Digital Learning in Teacher Education, 35(4), 196-210.
Thomas, J.W. 2000. A Review of Research on Project Based Learning. California: The Autodesk Foundation 111 McInnis Parkway San Rafael. Available at http://www.bie.org/research/study/review_of_project_based_learning_2000.
Turmuzi, M., & Kurniawan, D. A. (2021). Competence of prospective teachers in lesson planning and technology utilization. Journal of Education and Learning, 28(1), 45-58.
Urban, M., & Falvo, D. A. (2018). Measuring teachers' TPACK levels and investigating their TPACK improvement through ICT integration. Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 21(3), 62-7.
Vivian, R., & Valker, C. (2019). Exploring pre-service teachers' TPACK and attitudes towards using mobile devices for learning and teaching. Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 35(5), 46-61.