

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_121414</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>USE OF VIRTUAL REALITY LEARNING MEDIA IN THE ANIMAL DEVELOPMENTAL STRUCTURE COURSE - LECTURER AND STUDENT RESPONSES</b>
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research attempt made by the researchers is good. It may help greatly the student and teacher community to visualize the content. It may help in teaching learning process and improve quality of learning.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Okay	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>Abstract , Introduction is good .</p> <p>Research method used is minimum .</p> <p>Can be thought using one more tool other than questionnaire.</p> <p>Dataset (data collected) used for analysis is too less to draw a conclusion</p> <p>Mathematical/Statistical methods used in the work can be presented with corrected notations .</p> <p>Example: In the formula small case is used and later upper case is used which may create confusion.</p> <p>In results section , few screen shots about the learning media( VR) can be introduced to strongly defend the work.</p> <p>Clear description of the formula chosen to calculate the score will be helpful for readers</p>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References can be arranged in chronological order.	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Okay.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Attempt is good but requires more data for validating the analysis .	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>M.Kiruthika</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agnel Charities' Fr. C. Rodrigues Institute of Technology, India</b>