

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_120741
Title of the Manuscript:	Implementation Of Catch-Up Fridays: A Case Study on Teachers' Experiences at the Davao Del Norte Division
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study is focused on the application of the "Catch-Up Fridays" program in Filipinas. It involves the analyses of the teachers' experiences, including the personal costs of materials and the limitation of resources. From the analyses valuable proposal to improve the program was indicated in the conclusion item.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	ok	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	As keywords, there are long words. It is not correct.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Several aspects were identified and described like "Awareness and Understanding" or "Training and Support". The tables list several items that were analysed, in a perspective of the application of the program, allowing the authors to present valuable remarks	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	ok	
Minor REVISION comments	ok	
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The text presents a deep study of the application of a didactic program. Bringing some important conclusions and future improvements	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alcinia Zita Sampaio
Department, University & Country	University of Lisbon, Portugal