

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJEBA_120662 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Driving Agricultural Transformation: Unveiling the Impact of Rural Livelihood Diversification Strategies in Bangladesh |
| Type of the Article | |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|--|
| Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | 1. It is a well written and thorough evaluation of manuscript. The article involves both farm and non-farm source of income aspects of HH and their relationship, which are very important information for further researches and policy making. The analytical technique provides models for similar study in different locations and for further studies. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | 2. The title of the article is suitable. | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | 3. The abstract is comprehensive, suggestion might be needed for further research on the relationships of remittance and agricultural inputs used. However, abstract should be limited to less than 200 words. | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. | |
| Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | 5. The manuscript is scientifically sound. It present thorough results and complete information of the research. However it is recommended that more intensive discussion using scientific articles published in scientific journal. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. = | 6. The references are are recent but may needs some more addition on scientific articles related to the subjects of the reserahes. | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | - | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | - | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Name: | Prabowo Tjitropranoto |
| Department, University & Country | IPB University, Indonesia |