

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_118479
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of Price Earning Ratio (PER) and Devidend on Sharia Stock Prices
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>In this study, the stock price-dividend ratio is assessed from a multivariate analysis perspective. Investors can use these results, along with other factors, to evaluate a company's stock price.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is quite clear.</p> <p>The statistical analysis apparatus was used in the manuscript in a scientifically correct and correct manner.</p> <p>The list of references used is researched carefully and includes contemporary articles.</p> <p>The economic indicators of only seven companies are involved, which allows us to only partially accept the conclusions formulated. of this study.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is advisable to edit the text itself, for example, on page 8 the top paragraph is simply torn.</p> <p>The language (English) and quality of the article are quite suitable for scientific communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Recommend for publication after minor stylistic edits.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olena Osharovsk
Department, University & Country	State University of Intelligent Technologies and Telecommunications, Ukraine