

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_120772
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Traditional Myth in Making and Unmaking of Development Thought:A Qualitative Study on The Murang Community in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it provides an in-depth analysis of the role traditional myths play in shaping and reshaping development thoughts among the Murang community in Bangladesh. It fills a crucial gap in the literature by linking indigenous beliefs with development practices, which can lead to more culturally sensitive and effective development interventions. I appreciate this manuscript because it highlights the need for integrating traditional knowledge systems with modern development goals, promoting a more holistic and sustainable approach to development.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "Role of Traditional Myth in Making and Unmaking of Development Thought: A Qualitative Study on The Murang Community in Bangladesh," is suitable as it accurately reflects the content and focus of the study. However, a slightly refined version could be "Traditional Myths and Development Thought: A Qualitative Study of the Murang Community in Bangladesh" to improve readability and precision.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study, including the objectives, methodology, and key findings. However, it could be improved by briefly mentioning the implications of the findings for development policies and practices. Additionally, clarifying the relationship between traditional myths and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in the abstract would enhance its relevance and appeal.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is well-structured with clear subsections, including an introduction, literature review, methodology, findings, and discussion. This structure facilitates a logical flow of information and makes the manuscript easy to follow.	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound as it employs a rigorous qualitative methodology, including in-depth interviews, case studies, key informant interviews, and focus group discussions. The thematic analysis of data ensures that the findings are grounded in the experiences and perspectives of the Murang community. By triangulating data from multiple sources, the study enhances the credibility and reliability of its findings. The manuscript also adheres to ethical standards, ensuring consent, confidentiality, and voluntary participation	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. -	The references are sufficient and include recent and relevant studies that provide a solid theoretical foundation for the research. However, including more recent studies on the intersection of indigenous knowledge and development would strengthen the literature review	
Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communication, with clear and concise writing. However, minor grammatical improvements and the use of more technical terms in certain sections could enhance readability and professionalism. For instance, revising some sentences for better clarity and coherence would be beneficial.	
Optional/General comments	To enhance the manuscript, consider integrating policy recommendations based on the findings, incorporating visual aids like diagrams or charts, and adding a comparative analysis with other indigenous communities. Including a section on limitations and future research would provide a balanced perspective. Highlighting successful development projects that integrate traditional knowledge, ensuring respectful and culturally sensitive language, and emphasizing the interdisciplinary nature of the research could broaden its appeal and practical relevance. These additions would strengthen the manuscript's contribution to the scientific community and development practitioners	

PART 2:

Review Form 3

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zaryab Fatima
Department, University & Country	University of Sargodha, Pakistan