

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_121131
Title of the Manuscript:	Integrated Approach to Agronomic Management: Balancing Productivity and Environmental Sustainability
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Sustainable Agriculture is an age-old term, but whatever new approaches and practices are developed for sustainably enhancing agricultural productivity falls under the broad term of sustainable agriculture. The manuscript lists the sustainable approaches and practices at a place. It is helpful to understand sustainable ways of enhancing agricultural productivity.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. It is a suitable and explicit reflection of the sustainable methodology covered. The following new title can be used: "Sustainable Agriculture: Balancing Productivity and Environmental Stewardship for Future Generations"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. It is well-written and sufficient. At every stage, there is always scope for modification in any document.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes. All sections are appropriate and well-placed. There is huge scope to elaborate in detail.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Of course, it is scientifically correct. All the approaches and practices mentioned in the manuscript are scientifically approved, and each has unique importance in sustainability. Manuscript helpful in understanding the ways to build the resilience of agriculture to climate change.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. -	Yes, Initially, it is sufficient, but there is a huge scope for more references. References must be added more, especially since it is a review article.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of English is sufficient, but there is a little problem with articulation of sentences. No worry, I have made the changes at my level, wherever necessary.	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arun Dattatray Bhagat
Department, University & Country	India