

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_120943
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the impact of spirulina platensis supplementation on growth performance, hematological parameters, serum biochemical parameters, digestive enzyme activities, carcass composition and antioxidant défense mechanisms in giant gourami (osphronemus goramy)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In fact, these series of investigations could be considered as a crucial aspect in fish industry. So, paying more attention to a higher quality in fish breeding in this manuscript is obvious. Moreover, adding new supplements in the diets of fish in the aim of healthier fish meat and lower morality during experiment showed a better way for a new trend of breeding in gourami giant fish.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I have inserted another suggestion to change the mentioned article title: <i>Spirulina platensis</i> supplementation in giant gourami (<i>osphronemus goramy</i>) diet: growth performance, hematological parameters, serum biochemical parameters, digestive enzyme activities, carcass composition and antioxidant defense mechanisms	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I have added some new comments for an improvement of the abstract sentences. I have noted the key points in this section of article.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, I did not find any irrelevant aspects in the structure of the current manuscript.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references in the current manuscript were updated with the recent references, so I have mentioned some suggestions in the text for the format of references.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	I suggest for an improvement of the whole text quality of the article, it is better to have a rewrite (especially in introduction and discussion sections).	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Saleh Samadi
Department, University & Country	Sari Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University, Iran