

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_120886
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Gregarious Pupal Parasitoid <i>Nesolynx thymus</i> (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) for Biological Control of Uzi Fly
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>Even though it mentions that the parasitoid <i>Nesolynx thymus</i> is very effective for the bicontrol of the uzy fly pest up to 70%, boosting the yield of the cocoons and profitability at the field level; It was necessary to determine their parasitism habits so that in a parasitoid breeding context the control efficiency could be improved; these were the scope of the work and the observance of a threat to the parasitoid by small red ants. We can summarize it in the following sentence "the problem in itself offers its solution, you just have to identify it, characterize it and put it into practice"</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>It is a work of great relevance, concise, very well prepared, of practical application that in fact is already incorporated at the farm level, which in itself indicates that it is scientifically robust and technically adequate.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The contribution of the work was to demonstrate the habits of parasitism, it is a parasitoid with gregarious habits, ecto pupal, its parasitism scheme is random, it sequentially performs the recognition of the pupa, drumming, tapping, if it is accepted then it performs the perforation in preferably young pupae. Given the thoroughness of the work, it would have been appropriate to address the topic in the discussion on the threat to the parasitoid by small red ants, intensity of the damage to determine if it really represents a threat, and at the same time explore biocontrol alternatives, sufficient to give the guideline for the continuation of the investigation</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juan Manuel Soto Parra
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua, México