

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_119825
Title of the Manuscript:	Promising round-shaped variety Anand Raj exhibiting glossy skin characteristics in brinjal (Solanum melongena L.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A manuscript on the brinjal variety "Anand Raj" showing shiny skin characteristics is important to the scientific and agricultural community. These varieties can offer innovations in quality, consumer appeal, disease resistance, environmental adaptation, and contribute to biodiversity. This information is useful for better variety development and further research 2. Article titles are less simple and long-winded, can be simplified to be more focused and interesting. Example: Anand Raj: Round Brinjal Variety with Shiny Skin or Shiny Skin Advantage on Brinjal Anand Raj Variety 3. The abstract of the article provided is quite complete, but there are several points that can be improved to improve the clarity and completeness of the information such as the details of the research location and the research method used so that the research has accurate concreteness 4. The arrangement of the subsections is correct, but in order to be better, it is better for researchers to cite the latest research, authors can strengthen the validity and relevance of the research. The use of recent research citations (at least the last 5 years), the use of the latest research citations not only improves the quality of the manuscript academically, but also strengthens the author's argument in building conclusions that are based on the latest evidence and can be scientifically accounted for. 5. In general, the manuscript looks good scientifically because it includes several important components of a scientific article, such as the background, objectives, research methods, results, and interpretation of the results. However, to ensure its accuracy and scientific correctness, several things need to be considered such as Citations and References, Research Methods, Interpretation of Results and Suitability of Journal Formats. 6. To assess the adequacy and completeness of references in a manuscript, it is usually important to check whether the sources used include the latest literature relevant to the field of research. Up-to-date references help ensure that authors stay up-to-date with the latest advancements in the topics covered. Here are some additional reference suggestions you can consider, depending on the theme and focus of the research you are discussing: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Gupta, A., Singh, A., & Singh, N. (2021). Advances in breeding strategies for improving eggplant (<i>Solanum melongena</i> L.) for biotic and abiotic stress tolerance. <i>Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology</i>, 96(1), 1-15. 2. Patel, M., Patel, B., & Patel, D. (2020). Development of high yielding and disease resistant eggplant (<i>Solanum melongena</i> L.) varieties for central Gujarat. <i>Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences</i>, 90(3), 302-307. 3. Smith, J., Brown, T., & Johnson, R. (2019). Breeding approaches for enhancing glossiness and market appeal in eggplant varieties. <i>Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics</i>, 45(2), 120-135. <p>Be sure to tailor the reference suggestions to your specific research topic and focus. After including these additional references, be sure to also double-check the presence of consistent citations in the text of the manuscript and that any citations and information taken from the literature are properly documented.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Overall the article is good but needs a little more refinement, more enthusiasm to produce good work to increase wider knowledge</p> <p>The quality of the articles in English seems to be good enough for scientific communication, with some of the following key points: Clarity and Coherence, Technical Accuracy and Scientific Discipline</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Overall, this article shows a good commitment to scientific communication standards by presenting information systematically and clearly. The explanation of the background, objectives, methods, results, and conclusions has been well presented, ensuring that the reader can follow the flow of the research easily. The use of appropriate technical terminology also indicates a strong understanding of the research material. However, to improve the quality of this article, there are several points that can be considered: Language Accuracy, Use of References, Suitability of Formatting and Revisionization</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Latifatus Saleha
Department, University & Country	Universitas Nurul Jadid, Indonesia