

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_121400
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges in the Development of Dengue Vaccine
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Comments</p> <p>Since activating Tfh cells via adjuvants is mentioned, i think there should be a brief introduction to T cell dependent as well T cell independent antigens. A line or two about the "Hapten-Carrier" effect on B cell activation via T dependent antigens can also be mentioned to increase the overall credibility of the Tfh section.</p> <p>Also one minor sidenote, in immunology we write the "+" in CD4 in superscript and the "FH" (representing follicular helper) in subscript in caps.</p> <p>Overall, the diagram and the review is highly commendable and the information on vaccines has provided decent value to me as well.</p> <p>The WHO advises against giving this vaccination to anybody who is not seropositive. Do authors wish to indicate the need to screen or rule out prior infection in every case before giving this vaccine?</p> <p>More clarification needed about if tetravalent vaccine would not produce ADE?</p> <p>The mention of cost effectivity of the vaccine would be appreciated.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Sujata Pol</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Lokmanya Tilak Municipal Medical College and General Hospital, India</p>