

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_117340
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors influencing the healthcare-seeking decisions of diabetic patients in Ghana
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Considering that this manuscript contains good and appropriate information about diabetes, it can be useful for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes. This title is comprehensive and attractive but It is better to mention the type of study at the end of the title, for example, a cross-sectional study</p> <p>3. Yes. The abstract is comprehensive and appropriate. Please pay attention to the comments for section of the abstract in the manuscript.</p> <p>4. Yes. All parts of a standard article are stated. And every section is well explained.</p> <p>5. Yes. According to the contents written about diabetes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. the references is sufficient and It is better to use newer references, for example 2021 onwards.</p> <p>Comments were written in the manuscript to improve the article. Please check.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes. Language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>I put a few comments in the manuscript to make the article better.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ernia Maghsoodloo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Shahroud University of Medical Sciences, Iran</b>