

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JOCAMR_117338</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ASSESSING THE KNOWLEDGE AND HEALTH SEEKING BEHAVIORS OF DIABETICS IN THE JASIKAN DISTRICT</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. Is the manuscript important for the scientific community?</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. It provides valuable insights into the knowledge and health-seeking behaviors of individuals with diabetes in the Jasikan district. This information can help healthcare professionals and policymakers to develop targeted interventions to improve diabetes management in this region. The study also highlights the gaps in knowledge about the disease, which can guide future educational initiatives.</p> <p>2. <i>Is the title of the article suitable?</i></p> <p>The title of the article is suitable as it accurately reflects the content of the manuscript. I suggest an alternative title could be "<b>Assessment of Knowledge and Health-Seeking Behaviors of Individuals with Diabetes in the Jasikan District</b>" or "<b>Navigating Diabetes Care in Jasikan District: An Assessment of Knowledge and Health Behaviors.</b>"</p> <p>3. <i>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</i></p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive. It provides a clear overview of the study's purpose, methodology, and key findings. However, it could benefit from a brief mention of the implications of the findings for healthcare professionals and policymakers.</p> <p>4. <i>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</i></p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript follows a logical flow, starting with an introduction that provides background information, followed by the methodology, results, discussion, and conclusion.</p> <p>5. <i>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</i></p> <p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically correct. The methodology is well-explained, and the results are presented clearly. However, it would be beneficial to include more details about the statistical analysis methods used in the study.</p> <p>6. <i>Are the references sufficient and recent?</i></p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent. They provide a good mix of foundational and recent studies, which strengthens the credibility of the manuscript. However, it would be beneficial to include more references related to the specific context of the study (i.e., diabetes management in the Jasikan district or similar settings).</p> <p><b>Additional suggestions/comments</b></p> <p>The manuscript could benefit from a more detailed discussion of the implications of the findings for healthcare professionals and policymakers. Additionally, the authors could consider comparing their findings with similar studies conducted in other regions to provide a broader context.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable f</b></li> <li></li> <li><b>or scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>-Yes, the language and English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications. The manuscript is well-written and clear.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amanuel Assefa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jimma University, Ethiopia</b>