

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAERI_121524</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Economics of Tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum.L</i>) Production Under Plastic Tunnel Technology in Peri-urban Areas of Kathmandu, Nepal</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Such research, which relies on cross-sectional data, is important for the community from which the sample was taken, and therefore the results of the research are limited to the community sample only.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I believe that the word (economics of tomato production) is a large and comprehensive word, while the analysis that the researcher used was simple, and therefore I suggest that the researcher estimate cost functions and economies of scale to find out the optimal size and price at which the sample members should sell it. In addition to that, calculating the optimal cost and others so that the word production economics can be used <b>New Title: An Economic analysis of mulched tomato production in peri-urban areas of Kathmandu/Nepal</b>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract must be written in one paragraph and not as written in the research	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are fairly adequate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I believe that the research needs to strengthen the scientific basis by using other analysis such as multiple regression equations in order for it to be research suitable for publication and to be benefited from locally and globally.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are very few and there are hundreds or even thousands of references that have dealt with such topics. The researcher should have benefited from the results of that research and compared them to the results of his research , Especially that research in countries whose conditions are similar to those of the researcher's country	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Somewhat incomprehensible words were found in the search	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research requires in-depth analysis and not just simple statistical analysis. I repeat once again the necessity of using multiple regression and estimating cost functions and production functions.	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ali Darub Kassar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Anbar, Iraq</b>