

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_121273
Title of the Manuscript:	The role of Biofertilizers and Phosphorus on Growth and Yield of Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Title of manuscript is appropriate to results 2. Material and methods used for investigation maintain standards of research methodology 3. Results / finding maintain to full fill scientific objectives given in title. Data collected in manuscript are suitable to methods and results. The role of Biofertilizers and Phosphorus on Growth and Yield of Chickpea (<i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.) topic itself provide new information in Agricultural practitioners. It is informative to new investigators also. 4. Author provides relevant and current references in result and discussion, Appropriates for results. 5. Conclusions supports data included in manuscript, may be more explanation needed. 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. supports data included in manuscript, may be more explanation needed. 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>		
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>		
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>		
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Navnath Ganagadhar Kashid
Department, University & Country	Vasant Mahavidyalaya Kajj, India