

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_120834
Title of the Manuscript:	Mating receptivity peptides in <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i>: Molecular and evolutionary perspectives
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> This study describes the phylogenetic and behavioral relationship of chemical ecology in the case of reproductive fitness of a pest of national importance, which should be studied to know the exact cues for this. 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is suitable. And I have added a few words. 	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Very comprehensive, but the materials part in the initial few statements should be in the materials and method section. 	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriately written. 	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scientifically very correct The proteomics analysis is very scientific with the mentioned software. The discussion part was written briefly with solid references. The subsections are also well structured The plagiarism must be reduced to a minimum percentage. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The references are recent enough to justify the research essentiality. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Yes, English is more suitable for all readers across several countries. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> While mentioning the intext references don't mention full name. Follow a standard error formatting software. If possible please mention a little about the software mentioned for analysis so non-background readers also can co-relate. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 3

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Satyabrata Sarangi
Department, University & Country	OUAT, India