

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_121842
Title of the Manuscript:	The Therapeutic Potential of Cannabidiol in Parkinson's Disease: A Review of Mechanisms of Action and Clinical Effects
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript highlights the therapeutic potential of cannabidiol on the treatment of Parkinsons Disease (PD). The manuscript, however, as a review article, barely scratches the surface in terms of mechanism. Moreover, the attempt to draw conclusions from reviewing a mixed bag of studies, including human, tissue culture, and live rodents, makes it even more imperative that plausible mechanisms be treated much more deeply than the authors have doe here. The manuscript would be better if more highly focused on, say, just tissue culture studies or just rodent studies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It would have been better to try to extrapolate possible links among tissue culture, human and rodent findings, to arrive at, for example, the molecular mechanisms of neuroinflammation in PD. A figure detailing these interactions in the authors' neuroinflammatory cycle would greatly improve the manuscript.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Michael Chen
Department, University & Country	California State University, USA