

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_121715</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Demonstration by new Finger millet Variety ATL 1 to Improve the Productivity in Villupuram District of Tamil Nadu.</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author has worked on one of the most prominent crop. The author demonstrated a new variety ALT 1 to improve the productivity in Villupuram district of Tamil Nadu.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes , it is comprehensive	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author reported that the new variety ATL 1 showed higher yield over the check variety (Co 15) in all the demonstrations. Based on the persistent performance in different locations, the ATL 1 was easily adopted to new environmental conditions of Tamil Nadu. This new variety may be recommended for farming community of different locations of Tami Nadu.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The author cited few recent references.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The introduction part should be rewritten. Some sentences are found to be repetitive	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The article may be accepted after minor corrections.	

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Review Form 3

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Khanin Pathak</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Assam Agricultural University, India</b>