

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARJASS_121713</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Challenges and Supports of Volunteers during Crisis Situation: Lessons Drawn from Lockdown during Covid-19 in Bangladesh</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 3

### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The content of this manuscript is detailed, and the empirical results can serve as a useful reference for relevant interest groups in Bangladesh and other countries.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the manuscript is suitable.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The authors used <u>the five factors</u> to examine their influence on the volunteers' supports and challenges during crisis situation.  I suggest that the authors use the terms consistently throughout the manuscript, from the abstract to the conclusion.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	I suggest that the authors add a <b>'Measures/Measurement'</b> section to the manuscript. This section should include descriptions of each independent and dependent variable, such as their definitions and the questionnaire scales from previous research that the authors used.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript includes both quantitative and qualitative studies and presents the results clearly. Overall, it is engaging and worthwhile to read.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Yes. The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yu-Ping Hsu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Chang Jung Christian University, Taiwan</b>