

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_121713
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges and Supports of Volunteers during Crisis Situation: Lessons Drawn from Lockdown during Covid-19 in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is important for the scientific community because it presents the problems related to keeping the volunteers active during a crisis situation. It is a topic that so far has not been profoundly studied. The COVID-19 pandemic serves as a good example of a crisis situation where volunteers helping the community are in danger of becoming victims therefore special supporting tools are needed. The study is based on a case study of Bangladesh which can be used for comparisons with other countries and regions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, they are.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study was well planned and conducted. The methodology is described in detail in section 3. Section 4 presents data analysis and findings. It is based on well-established methods that were correctly used.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	They are sufficient and recent.	
Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments	The study was well-planned and conducted. The paper is well-written and does not require any major changes.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 3](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barbara Wieliczko
Department, University & Country	Institute for Rural and Agricultural Development, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland