

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Biology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJOB_120222</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>CHEMICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF SEaweEDS FROM BIRI, NORTHERN SAMAR, PHILIPPINES</b>
Type of the Article	

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The manuscript presents clear statements in all areas of the study, and the format of the paper meets the journal's standards. Here are a few comments I observed during my peer review process:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The latitude and longitude of the region should be mentioned.</li><li>• If possible, kindly include photos for the collection and identification.</li><li>• In the discussion, the author may relate the presence of phytochemicals to the location where the seaweeds were collected.</li><li>• Among the 44 species, the selection of 6 species was done randomly; instead, the reason for their selection should be stated with references.</li><li>• The procedure for the extraction of the seaweed should be included if possible.</li><li>• The outcome of the research should be clearly defined in the conclusion section.</li></ul> <p>Other than these points, the paper is of high quality and suitable for publication in the Asian Journal of Biology.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sasikala Chenniyappan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Dr. N. G. P. Arts and Science College, India</b>