

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_121612
Title of the Manuscript:	RESOURCEFUL SOLIDARITY AND CLASSROOM LIABILITY OF LANGUAGE TEACHERS IN PUBLIC SECONDARY SCHOOLS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This manuscript is of significant importance to the scientific community, particularly in the field of educational research, as it explores the relationship between resourceful solidarity and classroom obligation among public secondary school language teachers. • By employing robust statistical tools such as the Pearson Product Moment Coefficient Correlation and regression analysis, the study provides valuable insights into how teachers' solidarity influences their commitment in the classroom. • I appreciate this manuscript because it highlights the crucial role of professional development and support systems in enhancing teaching effectiveness. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Words "obligation" or "responsibility" would be more appropriate in replacement of Liability.</p> <p>"Resourceful Solidarity and Classroom Obligation of Language Teachers in Public Secondary Schools"</p>	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract is comprehensive but could be improved by including specific numerical results of the analyses and mentioning any limitations of the study. Additionally, clarifying the sample as secondary school language teachers, rather than elementary, would enhance accuracy.</p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Item analysis of the tool is missing part in manuscript.</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript appears to be scientifically robust and technically sound as it employs a non-experimental quantitative research design utilizing a correlational method, which is appropriate for studying relationships between variables. The use of universal sampling and statistical tools like the Pearson Product Moment Coefficient Correlation and regression analysis enhances the reliability and validity of the findings.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript are insufficient and outdated. It is recommended to include more recent studies to strengthen the relevance and credibility of the research.</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the article is generally clear but could benefit from refinement for scholarly communication. Minor grammatical adjustments and more precise terminology would enhance its readability and professionalism.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript provides valuable insights but would benefit from a clearer presentation of the statistical results and a discussion of the study's limitations. Including these elements would enhance the comprehensiveness and applicability of the findings.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Azmat Farooq Ahmad Khurram
Department, University & Country	Khwaja Fareed University of Engineering & Information Technology, Pakistan