

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_120767
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF DIFFERENT PROCESSING METHODS ON THE ANTI-NUTRITIVE COMPONENTS OF BLACK JACK, BITTER LETTUCE AND CASSAVA LEAVES.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. =		

Review Form 3

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>TITLE OF REVIEWED ARTICLE EFFECT OF DIFFERENT PROCESSING METHODS ON THE ANTI-NUTRITIVE COMPONENTS OF BLACK JACK, BITTER LETTUCE AND CASSAVA LEAVES</p> <p>Comments The manuscript is well written, the authors reported on 'Effects of different Processing Methods on the Anti-Nutritive Components of Black jack, Bitter lettuce and Cassava leaves' which fits the scope of the journal. I however suggest minor revisions.</p> <p>Title The authors could consider removing the full stop at the end of the title of the article. Consider rewriting the title of the article as 'Effects of different Processing Methods on the Anti-Nutritive Components of Selected Indigenous Vegetables'</p> <p>Title The authors could consider removing the full stop at the end of the title of the article. Consider rewriting the title of the article as 'Effects of different Processing Methods on the Anti-Nutritive Components of Selected Indigenous Vegetables'</p> <p>Abstract The abstract is well written, all the components; introduction, methods of analysis, results and implication captured.</p> <p>Introduction The introduction is well done.</p> <p>Materials and Methods The section is properly organized and clear; the study area indicated, sample collection, sample preparation and treatment, sample analysis and data analysis well explained.</p> <p>Results and discussion In results and discussion, oxalic acid, let the authors consider to rewrite as follows: 1. The highest levels (mg/100g) of oxalic acid were recorded in raw black jack (180.2 ± 1.56) followed by raw bitter lettuce (163.0 ± 0.08) and lastly raw cassava leaves (136.5 ± 0.06). 2. There was a significant reduction ($P < 0.05$) in oxalic acid in the processed vegetables. The trends in the content/levels of oxalic acid in the vegetables observed were 3. Consider using the word levels instead of results when comparing the anti-nutritive components in the vegetables, rephrase to avoid repetition. For example: 4. The levels (mg/100g) of hydrogen cyanide (HCN) ranged from 4.1 ± 2.49 to 357.1 ± 10.56 in cassava leaves, 9.9 ± 2.51 to 116.4 ± 0.01 in bitter lettuce and 8.8 ± 4.13 to 84.9 ± 0.41 in black jack. Check through the results and discussion section and make changes accordingly.</p> <p>Conclusion The conclusion is in line with the aim of the research, the overall conclusion of the data analysis summarized based on the purpose of the study.</p> <p>Referencing 1. In the text, the authors should consider using a semicolon consistently where more than one reference is cited. 2. The authors should check through the list of references to confirm consistence. For instance, indicate both the volume of the journal and the number in all where journal publications are referenced. 3. The authors should avoid citing old references such as Bushway et al., 1998</p> <p>Verdict Accept after minor revisions</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zipporah M. Onyambu
Department, University & Country	University of Eldoret, Kenya