

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Food Science Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AFSJ_120767</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECT OF DIFFERENT PROCESSING METHODS ON THE ANTI-NUTRITIVE COMPONENTS OF BLACK JACK, BITTER LETTUCE AND CASSAVA LEAVES.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This study from a scientific point of view is important for every consumer and especially for their health, because it highlights the effects of the different processing methods used that may or may not influence the nutritional quality of food products, as well as the optimization of preparation techniques on the indigenous vegetables of the communities local, providing insights into the prevention of micronutrient loss.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the article is appropriate for all the very interesting information that is presented	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The summary of the article is comprehensive showing the purpose of the research through the determinations made, namely the effect of boiling, fermentation, solar and solar drying (with and without bleaching) on the anti nutritive components of the leaves of Cassava ( <i>Manhot esculenta</i> Crantz), Black jack ( <i>Bidens pilosa</i> ) and Bitter lettuce ( <i>Launaeacornuta</i> ), raw, dried, boiled and fermented, and the results and their interpretation are also presented.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The research work is presented with an abstract, introduction, materials and methods, results and discussions, conclusions, bibliography, respecting the structure required for publication	
<b>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	From a scientific point of view, the article is correct because it respects the research requirements and the structure is according to the editorial guidelines of the magazine.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>	For the realization of this work, 81 bibliographic references are used, of which 38 are from the last 5 years, these being correct and sufficient.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes, Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	

<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tița Mihaela Adriana</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu, Romania</b>