

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JMSRR_120445</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Emerging Modified Poly(Methyl Methacrylate) (PMMA) Bone Cements for Augmentation of Osteoporosis-Induced Compression Fractures of Vertebral Bodies: Present Status and Future Prospects</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

#### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The article highlights the material for surgical treatment of osteoporotic fractures.</li> <li>2. The article doesn't highlights the future prospects.</li> <li>3. The article lacks the composition study of material used .</li> </ol>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Graphical representation needed	
Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The methodology used in the this meets the review article accepted guidelines.</li> </ol>	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. -	yes	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	revise	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Santhosh Kumar T N
Department, University & Country	ESIC Medical College PGIMSR & MH, India